



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/784,003	02/20/2004	David A. Matthews	MS1-2015US	4376
22801	7590	07/26/2007		
LEE & HAYES PLLC 421 W RIVERSIDE AVENUE SUITE 500 SPOKANE, WA 99201			EXAMINER BELOUSOV, ANDREY	
			ART UNIT 2174	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 07/26/2007	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

50

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>		<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/784,003		MATTHEWS ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>		<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Andrew Belousov		2174	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- |                              |                             |
|------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| (1) <u>Andrew Belousov.</u>  | (3) <u>Chris Culberson.</u> |
| (2) <u>Kristine Kincaid.</u> | (4) _____.                  |

Date of Interview: 20 July 2007.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic    b) ☐ Video Conference  
 c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant    2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes    e) ☒ No.  
 If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 6, 8, 34 and 37.

Identification of prior art discussed: Enin.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached.    g) ☐ was not reached.    h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Agreement was reached in light of the proposed amendments that they overcome the 101 and 112 rejections. It was also agreed that the proposed amendment ("a desktop environment ... user persona" in claim 1) appeared to overcome the Enin reference.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

*Kristine Kincaid*  
 KRISTINE KINCAID  
 SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  
 TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

\_\_\_\_\_  
 Examiner's signature, if required